| 1 | | | |
|----|---|--|--|
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | | | |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | | | |
| 6 | AD WEED OF A TEG D | VOMPLOT, COLUMN | |
| 7 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON | | |
| 8 | AT SEA | TTLE | |
| 9 | DUSTIN MICHELLE, an individual, | | |
| 10 | Plaintiff, | NO. 2:19-cv-01987 | |
| 11 | VS. | COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES | |
| 12 | SOUTH CORRECTIONAL ENTITY | JURY DEMAND | |
| 13 | ("SCORE"), a Governmental Administrative Agency; SCORE JOHN DOES 1-10; | | |
| 14 | NAPHCARE, INC., an Alabama Corporation; | | |
| 15 | ELAINE SPERRY, an individual; JASON WIGGINS, an individual; MELANIE MENEAR, | | |
| 16 | an individual; MARGARET MAINA, an individual; TRICIA WEBB, an individual; | | |
| 17 | NAPHCARE JOHN DOES 1-10, | | |
| 18 | Defendants. | | |
| 19 | Defendants. | | |
| 20 | COMES NOW the Plaintiff, by and throug | gh his undersigned attorneys, and alleges as | |
| 21 | follows: | | |
| 22 | I. INTROD | UCTION | |
| 23 | 1. This action arises out of the extend | led deprivation of medical care of Plaintiff | |
| 24 | Dustin Michelle ("Dustin") while he was an inmate at South Correctional Entity Jail ("SCORE") | | |
| 25 | 2. Shortly after Dustin's incarceration began, Dustin experienced worsening pain in | | |
| 26 | his neck and displayed vital signs consistent with | a worsening infection. Over the next | |
| 27 | | | |
| | | KRUTCH LINDELL BINGHAM JONES, P.S. 3316 Fuhrman Ave E | |
| | COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES - 1 | Seattle, Washington 98102 | |

Suite 250 Seattle, Washington 98102 TEL. 206.682.1505 • FAX 206.467.1823

approximately two and a half weeks at SCORE, Dustin's symptoms worsened.

- 3. Dustin complained regularly to corrections and medical personnel but was not taken seriously. SCORE's corrections officers and/or NaphCare medical staff—who were supposed to be responsible for Dustin's well-being—called him a liar, accused him of faking his symptoms, and threatened to send him to "the hole" if he kept complaining.
- 4. The cause of Dustin's symptoms was a rapidly spreading infection, which caused a massive abscess in his neck. By the end of Dustin's incarceration, the infection was out of control. The bacteria partially eroded his spine and sent Dustin's body into septic shock. By the time Dustin arrived at the emergency room, shortly after his release from jail, he was moments from death; the infection was now "throwing clots" and numerous organ systems were already shutting down. Dustin narrowly escaped with his life and suffered catastrophic injuries and permanent disabilities as a result of the lack of medical treatment.
- 5. NaphCare and SCORE are in the business of cut-rate incarceration. NaphCare is a for-profit corporation that provides medical services to jails and prisons nationwide and markets itself as a cheaper alternative to in-house medical departments. Although SCORE is technically a governmental administrative agency, it provides contract jail services to numerous municipalities in a manner akin to a for-profit business. As a result, SCORE and NaphCare operate under the perverse economic incentives of a for-profit jail. SCORE and NaphCare cut corners and make staffing policies and medical decisions based on their financial interests—not the health of their inmates.
- 6. Plaintiff brings this action under 42 U.S.C. §1983 for violations of the Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution. Plaintiff also brings various state and common law claims.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

7. This Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claims under the Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments of the U.S. Constitution, 42 U.S.C. §§1983 and 1988, and 28 U.S.C.

| 1 | §§1331,1343 | s, et seq. | |
|----|---|---|--|
| 2 | 8. | Plaintiff's state and federal claims arise from a common nucleus of operative | |
| 3 | facts. There | fore, this court has supplemental jurisdiction over the state claims pursuant to 28 | |
| 4 | U.S.C. §136 | 7. | |
| 5 | 9. | Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), because a substantial part of the acts | |
| 6 | and omissions giving rise to Plaintiff's claims occurred in this district. | | |
| 7 | 10. | Assignment to the Seattle Courthouse is proper under LCR 3(d) because a | |
| 8 | substantial p | art of the events and omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in King County. | |
| 9 | III. PARTIES | | |
| 10 | A. Plain | tiff | |
| 11 | 11. | Plaintiff Dustin Michelle is a 39-year-old man, who is a Blackfoot tribal member | |
| 12 | and a resident of Auburn, Washington. | | |
| 13 | 12. | Dustin's racial identity is mixed race/American Indian. ¹ | |
| 14 | 13. | At the time of the acts and omissions described below, Dustin was an inmate at | |
| 15 | SCORE jail | for pre- and post-conviction misdemeanor offenses. | |
| 16 | B. Defen | idant SCORE | |
| 17 | 14. | Defendant South Correctional Entity ("SCORE") is a governmental | |
| 18 | administrative agency established pursuant to RCW 39.34.030(3). | | |
| 19 | 15. | SCORE's primary purpose is operating a jail in Des Moines, Washington. | |
| 20 | SCORE prov | vides correctional services to its member cities, which include Auburn, Burien, Des | |
| 21 | Moines, Federal Way, Renton, SeaTac, and Tukwila, as well as providing contract services to | | |
| 22 | numerous other governmental entities and agencies. | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | The term "racial identity" is used herein as a cultural, rather than biological, concept. Most modern biologists an anthropologists do not recognize "race" as a biologically valid classification. However, the concept of "race" is | | |
| 25 | commonly used by Americans to classify people based on subjective and culturally mandated criteria. Because these subjective classifications affect the biases of individuals, the concept of "race" is of practical and causal importance to this lawsuit. Implicit bias by medical professionals based on racial identity often leads to disparate pain management strategies and diagnoses. <i>See, e.g.</i> , Hall, William J et al. "Implicit Racial/Ethnic Bias Among | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | Health Care Professionals and Its Influence on Health Care Outcomes: A Systematic Review." American journal of public health vol. 105,12 (2015): e60-76. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2015.302903 | | |

26. Under the doctrine of *respondeat superior*, NaphCare is liable for the conduct of its employees, which at all relevant times was within the course and scope of their employment.

E. NaphCare Employee Defendants

- 27. The defendants listed in paragraphs 28-33 are collectively referred to as "NaphCare Employee Defendants." All are sued in their individual capacity.
- 28. Defendant Licensed Practical Nurse Elaine Sperry ("LPN Sperry") is an individual who is a resident of Washington State. LPN Sperry is a nurse employed by Naphcare and assigned to SCORE. At all relevant times, she was acting within the course and scope of her employment and under color of state law.
- 29. Defendant Registered Nurse Jason Wiggins ("RN Wiggins") is an individual who is a resident of Washington State. RN Wiggins is a nurse employed by Naphcare and assigned to SCORE. At all relevant times, he was acting within the course and scope of his employment and under color of state law.
- 30. Defendant Registered Nurse Melanie Menear ("RN Menear") is an individual who is a resident of Washington State. RN Menear is a nurse employed by Naphcare and assigned to SCORE. At all relevant times, she was acting within the course and scope of her employment and under color of state law.
- 31. Defendant Licensed Practical Nurse Margaret Maina ("LPN Maina") is an individual who is a resident of Washington State. LPN Maina is a nurse employed by Naphcare and assigned to SCORE. At all relevant times, she was acting within the course and scope of her employment and under color of state law.
- 32. Defendant Registered Nurse Tricia Webb ("RN Webb") is an individual who is a resident of Washington State. RN Webb is a nurse employed by Naphcare and assigned to SCORE. At all relevant times, she was acting within the course and scope of her employment and under color of state law.
 - 33. Defendants NaphCare John Does 1-10 are individuals employed by NaphCare to

27

24

25

provide, design, or manage medical and/or mental health services at SCORE. At all relevant 1 times, they were acting within the course and scope of their employment and under color of state 2 law. 3 F. 4 **General Allegations Regarding Parties** 5 34. The true names and identities of "John Doe" Defendants, whether individual, 6 corporate, or otherwise, are unknown to Plaintiff at this time. Plaintiff will amend this complaint 7 to allege the true names and identities of said defendants, and the basis for said Defendants' 8 liability to Plaintiff, when this information is ascertained. 9 35. All pronouns and other indications of gender are meant to be nonspecific and 10 interchangeable. 11 IV. FACTS 12 G. **Dustin's Incarceration at SCORE** 13 36. Dustin was booked into SCORE jail on the evening of October 10, 2018. 14 37. Shortly after his incarceration began, Dustin began experiencing neck pain. As 15 time passed, the neck pain worsened. 16 38. Although Dustin did not know it at the time, the pain was caused by a rapidly 17 spreading infection in his neck, which would nearly take his life in the coming weeks. 18 Shortly after his arrival, NaphCare's medical personnel started observing 39. 19 abnormal vital signs, such as low blood pressure and a high pulse, which are symptoms of a 20 severe infection. 21 40. On October 11, 2018, NaphCare LPN Jenny Bowman noted that Dustin's 22 diastolic blood pressure was 60, an abnormally low number. On that same day, she also noted a 23 resting heart rate of 123 beats per minute—a heart rate more typical for somebody engaging in 24 cardiovascular exercise. 25 41. NaphCare's Corporate Clinical Auditor, Allison Wells, was aware of this note on 26 October 11, 2018.

diagnoses and prescribe treatment, RN Menear sent a Licensed Practical Nurse named Bruce to

medical grievance asking if he wanted to see a sick call nurse and advising him that he would

need to pay to do so. This unresolved grievance was closed automatically on November 7, 2018,

after Dustin was released.

25

26

SCORE, SCORE Employee Defendants, NaphCare, and NaphCare Employee

67.

26

Defendants failed to conduct an adequate clinical assessment or medical treatment.

- 68. Dustin needed acute inpatient-level medical care. SCORE and NaphCare were unable to provide that care and he was not transferred to an adequate medical facility.
- 69. NaphCare Employee Defendants and SCORE Employee Defendants were not aware of, chose not to follow, and/or were improperly trained on SCORE's and NaphCare's existing policies and procedures. These omissions are described in further detail below.

I. SCORE and NaphCare Were on Notice of the Potential Harm Caused by their Deficient Medical Treatment Practices

- 70. SCORE and NaphCare were on notice at the time of Dustin's incarceration of the potential for constitutional violations enabled by their deficient practices, training, and supervision, due to previous specific incidents involving SCORE and/or NaphCare, including but not limited to the following:
 - a. NaphCare has a dangerously high turnover rate, which creates a culture of unfamiliarity and confusion. The high turnover rate was confirmed and acknowledged by DON Tambe and RN Villacorta in a 2017 interview.
 - b. A 2017 NCCHC Resources, Inc. ("NRI") audit of the Washoe County jail in Nevada identified numerous problems with the manner in which NaphCare conducts intake assessments for medical and mental health issues. These problems included the manner in which the assessments were conducted, the time it took to conduct assessments, and the sufficiency of training related to diagnosing mental health issues. The NRI audit revealed many of the same NaphCare staffing issues present at SCORE, which contributed to or caused the deprivation of Dustin's constitutional rights.
- 71. Previous similar incidents involving NaphCare and SCORE include but are not limited to the following:
 - a. In late 2017, a female inmate was booked into SCORE while suffering

from significant medical and psychological problems. The inmate was ignored for four days, while SCORE and NaphCare personnel watched her medical condition deteriorate. The inmate died before NaphCare made any legitimate attempts at diagnosing her condition. Poor monitoring and the failure to obtain specialized medical treatment also contributed to SCORE's and NaphCare's employees' deliberate indifference to Dustin's serious medical need.

- b. In 2016, Bryan Monnin was booked into the Spokane County Jail with a broken arm. Due to NaphCare's internal protocols meant to cut costs, Mr. Monnin went over a month before receiving appropriate treatment. Similar cost-cutting incentives—related to increased costs that SCORE/NaphCare would bear if Dustin was transported to an outside facility—also delayed Dustin's treatment and contributed to constitutional violations.
- c. In 2017, Inmate Layla Abdus Salaam suffered a critical medical issue that SCORE staff did not notice for over an hour. A nurse also falsified treatment records, which deprived Ms. Salaam of needed medicine and contributed to her death. NaphCare's death report for Ms. Salaam also points out the poor communication and slow booking process (arrived at 16:32 on 7/31/17 and booked at 09:25 on 8/1/17) and poor communication between medical staff and problems that led to Ms. Salaam's death. The nurse in question also implicitly acknowledged that inadequate staffing and management affected her performance. Poor communication and inadequate staffing also contributed to SCORE's and NaphCare's employees' deliberate indifference to Dustin's serious medical need.

- d. In 2015, Travis Stark suffered what appeared to be a seizure in the video courtroom area of SCORE. Travis Stark was seen by SCORE's nurses, but he was never seen by a doctor or transported to a hospital for evaluation. He never received medical treatment and died alone in his cell approximately five hours later. Poor monitoring and the failure to obtain specialized medical treatment also contributed to SCORE's and NaphCare's employees' deliberate indifference to Dustin's serious medical need.
- e. In 2015, Matthew Smith died from sepsis caused by complications related to Crohn's disease in Pierce County, Washington, under NaphCare's care. Mr. Smith suffered from continuous diarrhea and vomiting for an extended period of time, and then was found lying on the floor in obvious medical distress while the infection spread. Despite understanding the severity of the situation, NaphCare did not facilitate transport to a hospital for approximately seven hours. As a result of the delay, he suffered and died. NaphCare had insufficient policies and procedures in place to facilitate emergency medical treatment. Similar to Dustin's case, NaphCare understood the dire nature of the situation but took no urgent action. Poor communication procedures and deficiencies in training and customs related to the provision of emergency medical treatment contributed to SCORE's and NaphCare's employees' deliberate indifference to Dustin's serious medical need.
- f. In 2015, Jamycheal Mitchell died from starvation and neglect in Hampton Roads, Virginia, under NaphCare's care. Mr. Mitchell suffered from severe mental health problems and was unable to care for himself as a result of his mental health problems. He was routinely not fed or did not eat and lost significant weight before succumbing to medical

complications related to starvation. Despite the fact that NaphCare personnel regularly observed Mr. Mitchell wasting away, insufficient policies and procedures were in place to address inmates who were unwilling or unable to eat. NaphCare also lacked sufficient policies and procedures to address Mr. Mitchell's inability to engage in medical treatment. As a result, the treatment never occurred. The United States Department of Justice issued a report that addressed Mr. Mitchell's inhumane confinement. Deficient training and customs related to the tracking and provision of food to disabled inmates also contributed to SCORE's and NaphCare's employees' deliberate indifference to Dustin's serious medical need and also the depravation of the minimum necessities of civilized life.

- g. In 2011, Gregory Cheek died of an untreated bacterial infection in Nuces County, Texas, under NaphCare's care. Mr. Cheek suffered from mental health problems, including acute psychosis, that prevented him from expressing his medical needs, so NaphCare employees simply ignored the obvious medical conditions that eventually proved fatal. Deficient training and customs related to internal communication and providing emergency medical treatment to mentally ill inmates also contributed to SCORE's and NaphCare's employees' deliberate indifference to Dustin's serious medical need.
- h. In 2011, Justin Stark died from complications from mononucleosis in Hamilton County, Ohio, under NaphCare's care. Mr. Stark suffered from obviously apparent physical symptoms—including vomiting—but due in part to NaphCare's insufficient policies and procedures, his symptoms became fatal. Deficiencies in training and customs relating to welfare checks and emergency treatment also contributed to SCORE's and

- NaphCare's employees' deliberate indifference to Dustin's serious medical need.
- i. In 2016, Calvin Clark died while supposedly under medical supervision while in custody at SCORE. Mr. Clark was elderly and a known diabetic. Other inmates observed that he was obviously unwell and possibly going through alcohol withdrawal. One inmate described him as "hurting" and "suffering" before the medical event that led to his death. Deficiencies in training and customs relating to welfare checks and emergency treatment also contributed to SCORE's and NaphCare's employees' deliberate indifference to Dustin's serious medical need.
- j. In April of 2019, Daniel Khan died while in solitary confinement at SCORE. Mr. Khan experienced severe withdrawal symptoms, complained of abdominal pain, and laid naked on the floor for an extended period of time before he stopped breathing and died. Deficiencies in training and customs relating to emergency treatment also contributed to SCORE's and NaphCare's employees' deliberate indifference to Dustin's serious medical need.
- k. In September of 2019, a female inmate died while in solitary confinement at SCORE. It is believed, and therefore alleged, that this inmate was either mentally ill or suffering from withdrawal symptoms and died due to a lack of medical attention. Deficiencies in training and customs relating to emergency treatment also contributed to SCORE's and NaphCare's employees' deliberate indifference to Dustin's serious medical need.
- 72. Based on the above described incidents, and others, SCORE and NaphCare were well aware of numerous deficiencies in the manner in which they provided medical care, including but not limited to: inadequate staffing levels, delayed and inadequate medical evaluations, and the failure to staff qualified medical providers (physicians and nurse

practitioners). Dustin's neglect and resulting injuries were caused in part by materially similar 1 omissions. 2 J. General allegations regarding Dustin's medical condition 3 4 73. A reasonably competent medical provider would have diagnosed Dustin's 5 infection early in his incarceration at SCORE or any time thereafter. 6 Due to the nature of Dustin's infection, the longer Dustin went without appropriate treatment the worse it became. Therefore, each and every delay contributed to his 8 current morbidity. 9 75. If Dustin received appropriate treatment earlier in his incarceration at SCORE, he 10 would have required minimal medical treatment and made a quick and complete recovery. 11 V. LIABILITY 12 FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF Common Law Negligence 13 Against SCORE, SCORE Employee Defendants, NaphCare, and NaphCare Employee Defendants 14 76. Dustin was held in custody by SCORE and the SCORE Employee Defendants 15 with the contractual assistance of NaphCare and NaphCare Employee Defendants. Once Dustin 16 was in custody of SCORE—and therefore deprived of liberty and the ability to care for 17 himself—a special relationship existed and the Defendants had an affirmative duty to protect 18 Dustin and facilitate or provide him with adequate medical care and/or nursing care. This duty 19 was non-delegable and applicable to both SCORE and NaphCare while Dustin was in the 20 custody of SCORE, 21 77. SCORE, SCORE Employee Defendants, NaphCare, and NaphCare Employee 22 Defendants were negligently, deliberately, and recklessly indifferent to Dustin's serious medical 23 needs. 24 78. The nursing and medical care provided by SCORE, SCORE Employee 25 Defendants, NaphCare, and NaphCare Employee Defendants—or the lack thereof—was below 26 the standard of care expected of the average, competent, provider in the class that the providers 27

belonged and in the same or similar circumstances. 1 79. SCORE and NaphCare failed to institute policies, procedures, and training that 2 were necessary to assure the safety of its inmates. These omissions materially contributed to the 3 errors and omissions of SCORE's and NaphCare's employees. 4 80. SCORE and NaphCare failed to train their employees in a manner necessary to 5 assure the safety of its inmates, and SCORE and NaphCare had notice that their deficient training 6 could have potentially catastrophic effects for inmates. These omissions materially contributed 7 to the errors and omissions of SCORE's and NaphCare's employees. 8 81. 9 The above described actions and omissions were negligent, grossly negligent, reckless, and malicious and breached SCORE's, SCORE Employee Defendants', NaphCare's, 10 and NaphCare Employee Defendants' duties to Dustin. 11 82. SCORE had actual or constructive notice of NaphCare's history of substandard 12 medical care, in custody deaths, and constitutional violations and was negligent in its hiring of 13 NaphCare. 14 83. The above described acts and omissions were a proximate cause of Plaintiff's 15 injuries and damages. 16 SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF 17 Civil Rights Claim for Cruel and Unusual Punishment and Denial, Delay, 18 and Withholding of Medical Care Against SCORE Employee Defendants 19 84. 42 U.S. §1983 and the Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments to the U.S. 20 Constitution protect a detainee's right to be free from cruel and unusual punishment, including 21 the deprivation of minimal civilized necessities. 22 SCORE Employee Defendants were deliberately and recklessly indifferent to 85. 23 Dustin's serious medical needs in violation of Dustin's Eighth and Fourteenth Amendment 24 rights. 25 86. These acts and omissions conducted by SCORE Employee Defendants were 26 conducted within the scope of their employment with SCORE and under the color of law. 27

| 1 | THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF Civil Rights Claim for Cruel and Unusual Punishment and Denial, Delay, and Withholding of Medical Care | | |
|----|---|--|--|
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | 87. | Against SCORE 42 U.S. §1983 and the Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments to the U.S. | |
| 4 | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| 5 | | protect a detainee's right to be free from cruel and unusual punishment, including | |
| 6 | the deprivation | on of minimal civilized necessities. | |
| 7 | 88. | SCORE's insufficient policies, procedures, and training constitute deliberate | |
| 8 | indifference to the rights of SCORE inmates. | | |
| 9 | 89. | These acts and omissions were conducted under the color of law. | |
| 10 | FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF Civil Rights Claim for Cruel and Unusual Punishment and Denial, Delay, and Withholding of Medical Care | | |
| 11 | | | |
| | | Against NaphCare Employee Defendants | |
| 12 | 90. | 42 U.S. §1983 and the Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments to the U.S. | |
| 13 | Constitution protect a detainee's right to be free from cruel and unusual punishment, including | | |
| 14 | the deprivation of minimal civilized necessities. | | |
| 15 | 91. | NaphCare Employee Defendants were deliberately and recklessly indifferent to | |
| 16 | Dustin's serio | ous medical needs in violation of Dustin's Eighth and Fourteenth Amendment rights | |
| 17 | and deprived | him of minimal civilized necessities. | |
| 18 | 92. | These acts and omissions conducted by NaphCare Employee defendants were | |
| 19 | conducted wi | thin the scope of their employment with NaphCare and under the color of law. | |
| 20 | | FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF | |
| 21 | Civil Rights Claim for Cruel and Unusual Punishment and Denial, Delay, | | |
| 22 | | and Withholding of Medical Care Against NaphCare | |
| 23 | 93. | 42 U.S. §1983 and the Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments to the U.S. | |
| 24 | Constitution | protect a detainee's right to be free from cruel and unusual punishment, including | |
| 25 | the deprivation | on of minimal civilized necessities. | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| | | | |

| 1 | 94. | NaphCare's insufficient policies, procedures, and training constitute deliberate |
|----|------------------|--|
| 2 | indifference to | o the rights of SCORE inmates. |
| 3 | 95. | These acts and omissions were conducted under the color of law. |
| 4 | | VI. JOINT LIABILITY |
| 5 | 96. | Dustin is without fault for the incidents that form the basis for this lawsuit and |
| 6 | therefore Defe | endants are jointly and severally liable to Plaintiffs for their conduct. Further, |
| 7 | Defendants w | ere acting in concert and/or acting as agents or servants of each other. |
| 8 | | VII. DAMAGES |
| 9 | 97. | The above described acts and omissions were a proximate cause of Plaintiff's |
| 10 | damages, and | entitle Plaintiff to monetary relief including compensatory damages, punitive |
| 11 | damages, and | attorneys' fees and costs. |
| 12 | 98. | As a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid acts and omissions, and tortious |
| 13 | and constitution | onally violative conduct of Defendants, Plaintiff sustained severe and permanent |
| 14 | physical and r | mental injuries, and has suffered, and will continue to suffer in the future, injuries |
| 15 | and damages | that include, but are not limited to, mental and physical pain and suffering; mental |
| 16 | and emotional | I pain, suffering, anguish and distress; loss of ability to enjoy life; disability; wage |
| 17 | loss and total | destruction of wage earning capacity; expenses for medical care, medications, |
| 18 | surgery, thera | py and related expenses, and such further injuries and damages as will be proven at |
| 19 | trial. | |
| 20 | 99. | This action is brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1983 and §1988, and Plaintiff is |
| 21 | therefore entit | eled to all compensatory damages, punitive damages, costs, and attorneys' fees |
| 22 | allowed under | federal and state law. |
| 23 | | VIII. JURY DEMAND |
| 24 | 100. | Plaintiff hereby requests a jury trial in this matter. |
| 25 | | IX. COMPLIANCE WITH CLAIM FILING STATUTES |
| 26 | 101. | Plaintiff and his counsel have fully complied with RCW Ch. 4.92, as applicable, |
| 27 | to bring this a | ction against SCORE. |
| | | |

| 1 | 102. | On June 10, 2020, Plaintiff's counsel requested that SCORE's in-house counsel |
|----|---|---|
| 2 | voluntarily accept service of a tort claim form. | |
| 3 | 103. | SCORE's in-house counsel responded affirmatively and invited Plaintiff's |
| 4 | counsel to ser | nd the tort claim form via email. |
| 5 | 104. | SCORE's insurer sent Plaintiff's counsel a letter dated June 12, 2020, |
| 6 | acknowledgir | ng receipt of the tort claim form. |
| 7 | 105. | More than 60 days have elapsed since filing of the claim, which has not been |
| 8 | accepted by the applicable Defendants. | |
| 9 | X. PLAINTIFF DECLINES TO SUBMIT THIS DISPUTE TO ARBITRATION | |
| 10 | 106. | To the extent any of the tort claims pled herein—against known or presently |
| 11 | unknown defe | endants—implicate RCW Ch. 7.70A, Plaintiff declines to submit this case to |
| 12 | arbitration. | |
| 13 | | XI. PRAYER FOR RELIEF |
| 14 | WHE | REFORE, Plaintiff having stated his case, prays for judgment against the above- |
| 15 | named Defendants, as follows: | |
| 16 | A. | For Plaintiff's general damages for physical pain and suffering, mental and |
| 17 | emotional dis | tress, anguish, anxiety, fear of impending doom, and for Plaintiff's destruction of |
| 18 | earning capacity. | |
| 19 | B. | For Plaintiff's special damages in an amount to be proven at the time of trial for |
| 20 | all medical ex | spenses past and future, all lost earnings past and future, and all other recoverable |
| 21 | special damages as are just arising from the injuries to Plaintiff. | |
| 22 | C. | For punitive damages against Defendants sufficient to punish them and to deter |
| 23 | further wrongdoing; | |
| 24 | D. | For all other general and special damages recoverable under Washington state |
| 25 | law, or any other law deemed applicable by the Court; | |
| 26 | E. | For pre- and post-judgment interest; |
| 27 | | |

| 1 | F. | The maximum amount of statutory damages allowed, pursuant to RCW |
|----|---------------|--|
| 2 | 42.56.550(4); | |
| 3 | G. | For costs, including reasonable attorney's fees, allowed by law; and |
| 4 | H. | For such other further relief as the Court deems just and equitable. |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | RESPI | ECTFULLY SUBMITTED AND DATED this 2 nd day of February, 2021. |
| 7 | | KRUTCH LINDELL BINGHAM JONES, P.S. |
| 8 | | By: /s/ J. Nathan Bingham |
| 9 | | J. Nathan Bingham, WSBA #46325 Email: jnb@krutchlindell.com |
| 10 | | , |
| 11 | | By: <u>/s/ James T. Anderson</u> James T. Anderson, WSBA #40494 |
| 12 | | Email: jta@krutchlindell.com |
| 13 | | By: /s/ Jeffrey C. Jones |
| 14 | | Jeffrey C. Jones, WSBA #7670 Email: jcj@krutchlindell.com |
| 15 | | 3316 Fuhrman Ave E, Suite 250 |
| 16 | | Seattle, Washington 98102 Telephone: (206) 682-1505 |
| 17 | | Facsimile: (206) 467-1823 |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| | İ | |

Appendix A

2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 8 DUSTIN MICHELLE, an individual, 9 NO. Plaintiff, 10 **RCW 7.70A.020 DECLARATION** VS. 11 SOUTH CORRECTIONAL ENTITY 12 ("SCORE"), a Governmental Administrative Agency; SCORE JOHN DOES 1-10; 13 NAPHCARE, INC., an Alabama Corporation; ELAINE SPERRY, an individual; JASON 14 WIGGINS, an individual MELANIE MENEAR, 15 an individual; MARGARET MAINA, an individual; TRICIA WEBB, an individual; 16 NAPHCARE JOHN DOES 1-10; KING COUNTY, a political subdivision of the State of 17 Washington; ROGER HIGGS, an individual; KING COUNTY JOHN DOES 1-10. 18 19 Defendants. 20 21 I, Dustin Michelle, hereby declare as follows: 22 1. I am a plaintiff in the above-captioned lawsuit. 23 2. My attorney presented me with a copy of RCW 7.70A.020 before I commenced this 24 25 action and I elected not to submit the dispute to arbitration under that chapter. 26 27

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that the foregoing is true and correct. Dustin Michelle